

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,581		12/22/2003	Robert A. Holton	FSUM 10464.49	7977	
321	7590	06/17/2004		EXAN	EXAMINER	
SENNIG	ER POWE	RS LEAVITT ANI	TRINI	TRINH, BA K		
ONE MET	ROPOLITA	AN SQUARE			<u></u>	
16TH FLO	OOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOUIS, MO 63102				1625		

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany		10/743,581	HOLTON, ROBERT A.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ba K. Trinh	1625	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE   - External after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  SINS (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repulperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 h	<u>1arch 2004</u> .		
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under $\boldsymbol{b}$	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-45 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	e <b>r</b> .		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document		-(d) or (f).	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No	
	<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>			
	application from the International Bureau		•	
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not received	d.	
Attachment	` '	_		
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat		
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 03222004.		te atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/743,581

Art Unit: 1625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-45 are pending.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-33 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 158-167 of copending Application No. 09/776,137( Holton). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the taxanes embrace overlapped species or subject matter and possess same utility.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 34-45 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 128-155 of copending Application No. 09/776,137( Holton ). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the taxanes embrace overlapped species or subject matter, and the method of preparing the composition comprising the taxane is obvious and conventional to an artisan.

Art Unit: 1625

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ba K. Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ba K. Trinh

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1625

TRINH/BKT 12 June 2004